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#### Abstract

The tyrosine kinase EphB4 is an attractive target for drug design because of its recognized role in cancerrelated angiogenesis. Recently, a series of commercially available xanthine derivatives were identified as micromolar inhibitors of EphB4 by high-throughput fragment-based docking into the ATP-binding site of the kinase domain. Here, we have exploited the binding mode obtained by automatic docking for the optimization of these EphB4 inhibitors by chemical synthesis. Addition of only two heavy atoms, methyl and hydroxyl groups, to compound $\mathbf{4}$ has yielded the single-digit nanomolar inhibitor $\mathbf{6 6}$, with a remarkable improvement of the ligand efficiency from 0.26 to $0.37 \mathrm{kcal} /(\mathrm{mol}$ per non-hydrogen atom). Compound 66 shows very high affinity for a few other tyrosine kinases with threonine as gatekeeper residue ( Abl , Lck, and Src ). On the other hand, it is selective against kinases with a larger gatekeeper. A 45 ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulation of the complex of EphB4 and compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ provides further validation of the binding mode obtained by fragment-based docking.


## 1. Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting ones, has been identified as one of the key steps in human carcinogenesis. In fact, nutrient supply and waste elimination are required for cell proliferation. Because of low toxicity and resistance potential, ${ }^{1}$ as well as the possibility of treating a large spectrum of solid tumor types, ${ }^{2}$ angiogenesis inhibition is considered a promising target in anticancer therapies. Several studies have implicated erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma receptor $\left(\mathrm{Eph}^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) signaling in sprouting angiogenesis and blood vessel remodeling during vascular development. ${ }^{3}$ Furthermore, overexpression of several of the 14 Eph receptors has been linked to tumors and the associated vasculature, suggesting a critical role in tumorrelated angiogenesis. In fact, inhibition of binding of EphB4 to its natural ligand EphrinB2 using soluble extracellular domains of EphB4 has been shown to reduce tumor growth in murine tumor xenograft models. ${ }^{4,5}$ Thus, inhibition of Eph angiogenic activity has been recognized as an effective strategy for blocking tumor progression and metastasis.

Like all receptor tyrosine kinases, EphB4 is a type-I transmembrane protein. Its extracellular domain is composed of an

[^0]N-terminal domain necessary for ligand binding, whereas its intracellular domain includes a C-terminal domain and a tyrosine kinase domain. Despite the potential therapeutic importance of EphB4, only four series of small molecule inhibitors are currently known (Figure 1). ${ }^{6-9}$ In 2007, Miyazaki and co-workers reported the synthesis of 3-[4-amino-3-(3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)thieno-[3,2-c]pyridin-7-yl]-benzenesulfonamide (1), which is a potent EphB4 inhibitor. ${ }^{7}$ One year later, 2,4-bis-anilinopyrimidine derivatives such as $\mathbf{2}$ showed also high potency as EphB4 inhibitors, and their cocrystallization with human EphB4 highlighted their dual binding mode (Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$ The marketed drug dasatinib, with Abll and Src as primary targets, also showed a very high affinity to Eph kinases. ${ }^{11}$

High throughput docking is a computational tool frequently used to discover small-molecule inhibitors of enzymes or receptors of known three-dimensional structures. ${ }^{12,13}$ Recently, we have developed an efficient computational method (termed ALTA for anchor-based library tailoring) to focus a chemical library by dōcking and prioritizing molecular fragments according to their binding energy. ${ }^{6}$ From a collection of about 700000 compounds, ALTA generated a focused library of 21418 molecules, each containing at least one fragment predicted to bind to the ATP-binding site of EphB4. Automatic docking of these 21418 molecules yielded two series of micromolar inhibitors, one of them based on a xanthine scaffold predicted to be involved in two hydrogen bonds with the hinge region that connects the N -terminal and C-terminal lobes of the kinase domain. Further characterization of the commercially available 3 (Figure 1) indicated that this molecule binds to the ATP-binding site, as predicted by the docking calculations. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, an analogue with a pendent anisidine chain (4, Figure 1) showed similar inhibition properties to $\mathbf{3}$ and was active in a cell-based assay.

Here, we present a medicinal chemistry campaign aimed at improving the affinity of the micromolar hits $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ identified by in silico screening. The optimization was carried out using
the binding mode obtained by automatic docking into EphB4. Chemical synthesis of about 30 derivatives of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ yielded six nanomolar inhibitors, one of which (compound 66) has an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $2-5 \mathrm{nM}$ and shows good selectivity against other protein kinases. The addition of only two heavy atoms $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and -OH substituents at the phenyl ring, $\mathbf{4}$ vs $\mathbf{6 6}$ ) has resulted in a $\sim 1000$ times improvement of affinity, which is a remarkable example of the usefulness of structure-based hit modifications.


Figure 1. Previously known EphB4 inhibitors. ${ }^{6-9}$

## 2. Synthesis

Our foreseen modifications to the scaffold of compound 4 $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1-7}\right)$ for the structure-activity relationship study (SAR) have been summarized at the top of Table 1. Such studies demand a rapid, reliable, and flexible access to a wide variety of potentially active structures with a minimum synthetic variation cost. To succeed in such a goal, a modular synthetic approach was developed. Thus, methyl and benzyl substituted derivatives at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ were prepared in parallel as summarized in Scheme 1. The synthesis started by condensation of cyanoacetic acid with commercially available methylurea or benzylurea to give the corresponding cyanoacetylurea intermediates, which upon treatment with base afforded the desired 1-alkyl6 -aminouracils $(\mathbf{5}, 6) .{ }^{14}$ Nitrosation at $C_{5}$ of the pyrimidine ring with sodium nitrite in acetic acid furnished compounds 7 and $\mathbf{8}$, which were subsequently reduced with sodium dithionite to give 1 -alkyl-5,6-diaminouracils 9 and $10 .{ }^{15}$ The diamino compounds were immediately refluxed with formic acid to give an amide intermediate, followed by cyclization in basic media, to afford the desired xanthines $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$. Bromination at $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ of the xanthine core with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and sodium acetate in acetic acid led to the formation of the key 8-bromoxanthines $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ in excellent overall yield. ${ }^{16}$

The synthesis of the noncommercially available $\alpha$-halo ketones is summarized in Scheme 2. First, commercially available 1,3-benzodioxole-5-carboxaldehyde (piperonal, 15)

Table 1. EphB4 Inhibition Data for Xanthine Derivatives


| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Me | H | H | H | H | H | 4-hydroxybutyl | 7000 (5680) |
| 4 | Me | H | H | H | H | H | o-methoxyphenyl | 3300 (4350) |
| 45 | Bn | H | H | H | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | > 10000 |
| 46 | Bn | H | H | F | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | > 10000 |
| 69 | H | H | H | F | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 5400 |
| 48 | Me | H | 1,3-dioxol | H | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | > 20000 |
| 49 | Me | H | 1,3-dioxol | H | H | H | 4-hydroxybutyl | $42 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 50 | Me | 1,3-dioxol | H | H | H | H | o-methoxyphenyl | $30 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 51 | Me | OMe | H | H | H | H | butyl | > 10000 |
| 52 | Me | H | OMe | H | H | H | butyl | $36 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 53 | Me | H | H | OMe | H | H | butyl | > 10000 |
| 54 | Me | Me | H | H | H | H | o-methoxyphenyl | 180 (47) |
| 55 | Me | H | Me | H | H | H | o-methoxyphenyl | > 10000 |
| 56 | Me | H | Me | H | H | H | butyl | $37 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 57 | Me | H | H | Me | H | H | butyl | $38 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 58 | Me | OH | H | H | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 64\% at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 59 | Me | OH | H | H | H | H | butyl | 1600 |
| 60 | Me | H | H | H | OH | H | o-methoxyphenyl | 368 (213) |
| 61 | Me | H | H | H | OH | H | butyl | 691 |
| 62 | Me | H | H | OH | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 59\% at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 63 | Me | H | H | OH | H | H | butyl | 558 |
| 64 | Me | Me | OH | H | H | H | o-methoxyphenyl | 1200 |
| 65 | Me | Me | H | OH | H | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 236 |
| 66 | Me | Me | H | H | OH | H | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 5 (1.6) |
| 67 | Me | H | H | H | OH | OH | $o$-methoxyphenyl | 5000 |

[^1]was reacted with methylmagnesium bromide to give secondary alcohol 16, which was subsequently oxidized in the presence of manganese oxide to give methyl ketone 17. $\alpha$-Bromination at the methyl position took place in the presence of phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide to give alkylating agent $\mathbf{1 8}$ in only three steps. 2-Bromo- $2^{\prime}$-methyl- $3^{\prime}$-hydroxyacetophenone (24) and 2-bromo-2'-methyl-4'-hydroxyacetophenone (25) were prepared by treatment of the corresponding carboxylic acids with methyllithium to afford methyl ketones 21 and 23,

Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) $\mathrm{NCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) (i) formic acid, reflux, 3 h ; (ii) NaOH , reflux, 1 h ; (e) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Scheme $2^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) MeMgBr , THF, $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) MeLi, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{PhCl}$, reflux, 6 h ; (f) $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$, reflux, 15 h .
respectively (Scheme 2). Demethylation of 21 using $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ yielded phenolic derivative 22. Finally, $\alpha$-bromination at the methyl group was achieved in the presence of copper(II) bromide in chloroform to give monohalogenated ketones 24 and 25.

Alkylation at the $\mathrm{N}_{7}$ position of the xanthine core with the appropriate $\alpha$-haloketone was accomplished using $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine in dimethylformamide, providing the 8-bromo-3-alkyl-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)xanthine derivatives 26-44 in good yields. Treatment of these intermediates with primary alkylamines or aromatic amines in a sealed tube at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ethanol as solvent afforded the desired imidazo-[1,2-f]xanthine derivatives 45-68 (Scheme 3).

Two more derivatives were prepared as chemical probes to address the binding mode of these molecules to EphB4 (Scheme 4). First, the benzyl group in 46 was removed in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ with ammonium formate to give 69. Alternatively, the nitrile group in $\mathbf{6 8}$ was transformed into the corresponding carboxylic acid (70) by hydrolysis with sulfuric acid.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The inhibitory activity of the compounds prepared in Schemes 3 and 4 was measured by a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) based enzymatic assay that quantifies inhibition of phosphorylation of a synthetic substrate of EphB4 at $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ concentration of ATP (see Experimental Section).

Experimental Validation of the Binding Mode. The binding mode of compound $\mathbf{3}$ obtained by automatic docking ${ }^{6}$

## Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, ammonium formate, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 140$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h} ;$ (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Scheme $3^{a}$



[^2]

Figure 2. Binding modes of compound 3. Poses A (left) and B (right) were generated by automatic ${ }^{6}$ and manual docking, respectively. In the schematic view (bottom), the side chain of Phe695 is not shown for clarity.

Table 2. EphB4 Inhibition Data for Compounds Synthesized To Discriminate between Two Putative Binding Modes


| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $>20000$ |
| $\mathbf{6 8}$ | CN | $>20000$ |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $>20000$ |

indicated that the carbonyl $\mathrm{C}_{6}=\mathrm{O}$ and amide group $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ are involved in hydrogen bonds with the backbone polar groups of Met696 (Figure 2, left). In this model, the phenyl ring of $\mathbf{3}$ is buried in the hydrophobic pocket, while the 4-hydroxybutyl lateral chain at $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ points toward the solvent. Visual inspection of such binding mode suggested that another pose could be obtained by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation of the first one (binding mode B, Figure 2, right) so that the phenyl ring points toward the solvent. In pose $B$, the $C_{6}=O$ and $N_{1}-H$ of compound 3 interact with the NH of Met696 and the backbone CO of Glu694, respectively. To discriminate between these two binding modes, we decided to introduce polar
substituents at the para position of the phenyl ring. Derivatives with a nitro (47), a cyano (68), or a highly hydrophilic carboxylic group (70) were prepared according to the method described in Schemes 3 and 4. These three derivatives were inactive (Table 2). Furthermore, a hydroxyl substituent at $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ reduced the affinity by a factor of about 2-3 (compare 4 and 62, Table 1). These results indicate that the phenyl ring of compound $\mathbf{3}$ more likely fits into the hydrophobic pocket of the ATP-binding site, as suggested by automatic docking (binding mode A in Figure 2).

Lead Optimization Strategy. Once sufficient evidence about the binding mode had been gathered, we started our optimization campaign from commercially available 4 (compound $\mathbf{5}$ in ref 6 ). Compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ differ only at $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ (alkyl vs aromatic) and have similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the enzymatic assay (Table 1), but only the latter showed activity in a cellbased assay (Table 1 in ref 6). According to the binding mode, the substituent at $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ of the pyrimidine ring could be involved in additional interactions with Ala700 (Figure 2) so that we decided to start the chemical edition of the molecule at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. The methyl group was replaced by a benzyl substituent, causing a major loss in the inhibitory activity of these molecules ( 45 and 46 vs 4 ). Compound 69 , with a $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, was not more potent than 4 . Since no improvement was achieved by modification of the pyrimidine ring, we decided to focus our efforts on a different region of the molecule.

The ATP-binding site of protein kinases can be divided into five different subpockets. ${ }^{17}$ The size of the hydrophobic pocket is controlled by a so-called gatekeeper residue, and it is well-known that not only affinity but also selectivity can be improved by fully exploring this site. ${ }^{17}$ In fact, only around $20 \%$ of the 518 human kinases have a small gatekeeper residue. Since EphB4 belongs to this class having a threonine gatekeeper (Thr693), we envisioned that a straightforward strategy to improve affinity would stem from modifications of the substitution pattern at the phenyl ring. In sharp contrast to compound 2 and other previously developed inhibitors of EphB4, ${ }^{8,10}$ a dioxole ring in relative positions $R_{3}, R_{4}\left(\mathbf{4 8}\right.$ and 49) or $R_{2}, R_{3}(\mathbf{5 0})$ of the aromatic ring significantly reduced the activity. A methoxy group with different substitution patterns $(\mathbf{5 1}, \mathbf{5 2}, \mathbf{5 3})$ also suppressed the inhibitory activity of the corresponding molecules. According to binding mode A (Figure 2), the space around the phenyl ring is rather limited, thus restricting the size of the substituents that might improve steric complementarity. Furthermore, the phenyl ring is close to the carbonyl group of Glu664, the hydroxyl and carbonyl groups of Ser757, and the NH group of Asp758, which can act as hydrogen bonding partners. On the basis of these observations, we decided to examine less sterically demanding substituents such as methyl or hydroxyl groups, which are expected to fulfill the nearby hydrogen bonding capacity.

Notably, a methyl substituent at position $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ of the benzene ring (54) showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value close to 100 nM . In contrast, $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ methyl substituted derivatives ( $\mathbf{5 5}-\mathbf{5 7}$ ) were almost inactive. Furthermore, introduction of a hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{R}_{5}(\mathbf{6 0})$ was also beneficial with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of about $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$. Some inhibition activity was also detected for compounds bearing the OH group at $\mathrm{R}_{2}(\mathbf{5 8})$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ (62) of the phenyl ring. In these cases, replacing the anisidine lateral chain at $\mathrm{R}_{7}(\mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{6 2})$ for an alkyl one such as butyl $(\mathbf{5 9}, \mathbf{6 1}$, and $\mathbf{6 3})$ seemed to have only a limited influence in the inhibitory activity of these molecules as previously observed for the commercially available compounds with a propyl or a butyl chain at $\mathrm{R}_{7} .{ }^{6}$ With these results in hand, we decided to explore how the combination of the most favored substitution patterns could influence the inhibitory activity. Thus, the methyl substituent was kept at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and a hydroxyl group was added at the relative positions $R_{3}(64), R_{4}(65)$, and $R_{5}$ (66) of the benzene ring. Strikingly, a combination of a methyl and a hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}$, respectively, yielded compound 66 , which has a $\sim 1000$-fold higher affinity compared to the original hits obtained by docking, i.e., compounds 3 and 4.

As mentioned above, the addition of only one heavy atom, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, resulted in a factor of 20-100 improvement ( $\mathbf{5 4}$ vs 4 , or $\mathbf{6 6} \mathrm{vs} \mathbf{6 0}$ ). This observation led us to further investigate the role of the methyl group, in particular if it stabilizes the orientation of the phenyl ring required for binding. Conformational analysis was performed on $\mathbf{4 , 5 4 , 6 0}$, and $\mathbf{6 6}$ by exhaustive sampling of the dihedral angles involved in the rotation of the phenyl and $o$-methoxyphenyl rings ( $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 2$ in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information), followed by geometry optimization of the resulting structures using quantum mechanics. For each of the four inhibitors, the local minima are distributed into four sets of conformers, which are separated by rotation barriers. The docked conformation lies in one of these four basins whose local minima have similar energy values (maximal difference in energy of $0.082 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{4}, 0.219 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 54, $0.257 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table 3. Local Selectivity of Compound $\mathbf{6 6}^{a}$

| kinases | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| EphA1 | 2.9 |
| EphA2 | 2.3 |
| EphA3 | 40 |
| EphA4 | 3.3 |
| EphA5 | 3.0 |
| EphA7 | 1118 |
| EphA8 | 4.5 |
| EphB1 | 1.1 |
| EphB2 | 1.2 |
| EphB3 | 15 |
| EphB4 | 1.6 |

${ }^{a}$ These $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were measured at Reaction Biology Corporation.
for $\mathbf{6 0}$, and $0.143 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{6 6}$ ), which suggests a quasiequal distribution of the population in each of the four conformers. Notably, the presence of a methyl group at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ seems to restrict the accessible conformations more than a hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ (compare the plots obtained for 54 and 66 and for $\mathbf{4}$ and 60 in Figure S1). In addition, the conformational strain, which is the energy difference between the minimized bound conformation and the lowest energy conformation of the isolated ligand, was evaluated for each molecule. The similar values obtained for the strain energy of $54(0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and $4(0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, as well as $\mathbf{6 6}(0.7 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol})$ and $\mathbf{6 0}(0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, indicate that the methyl group contributes to a gain in intermolecular van der Waals energy rather than in strain energy (see also the subsection Binding Mode of Compound 66 Investigated by MD Simulations).

During the preparation of this manuscript we discovered in the literature a series of inhibitors of the tyrosine kinase Lck with a 2,4-dianilinopyrimidine scaffold which have a very similar SAR for the phenyl substituents to the one observed for compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5 4}, \mathbf{6 0}$, and $\mathbf{6 6} .{ }^{18}$ Note that the phenyl substituent in both series of compounds is located in the hydrophobic pocket, but it is connected to the 2 -anilinopyrimidine core by a $-\mathrm{NH}-$ linker in the Lck inhibitors whereas the phenyl ring is attached directly to the xanthine scaffold in the EphB4 inhibitors described here.

Selectivity Profile. To assess the specificity of kinase inhibitors, it is useful to distinguish between local and global selectivity profiles, which reflect the inhibitory activity of the tested compound on a single branch of the kinome dendrogram and on the whole kinome, respectively. The local selectivity of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ was tested against a panel of 11 Eph receptor kinases by an enzymatic assay with $\left[\gamma-{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP (Reaction Biology Corporation). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values measured for this compound against 10 of the 11 Ephs are in the low nanomolar range ( $1-40 \mathrm{nM}$ ), while an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ is observed for EphA7 (Table 3). These $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are consistent with the very high sequence identity ( $60-90 \%$ ) of Ephs and the bulkier gatekeeper residue in EphA7 (isoleucine) with respect to the other Eph kinases (threonine). To evaluate the global selectivity, enzymatic assays (at single concentration of inhibitor) were performed for compounds 66 and $\mathbf{5 4}$ using a panel of 85 kinases (National Centre for Protein Kinase Profiling at the University of Dundee, Figure 3). Out of these 85 kinases, only five (EphA2, EphB3, Src, Lck, and Yes1) and three (EphA2, Lck, Yes1) are very strongly inhibited by compounds 66 and 54, respectively (less than $10 \%$ activity remaining compared to a DMSO control at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{6 6}$ and $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{5 4}$; see Supporting Information). It is important to note that these


Figure 3. Selectivity profile of compound 66. The circles correspond to all kinases tested. Inhibition of activity was measured in enzymatic assays with radiolabeled ATP at Reaction Biology Corporation and University of Dundee for 11 and 85 kinases, respectively, while binding affinity of 50 kinases was measured at Ambit Biosciences Corporation. Enzymatic assays: high, medium, low, and no compound affinity for kinase activity (with respect to DMSO control) of $<10 \%, 10-30 \%, 30-60 \%$, and $>60 \%$, respectively. Binding assay: high, medium, low, and no compound affinity for kinase activity (with respect to DMSO control) of $<1 \%$, $1-10 \%, 10-30 \%$, and $>30 \%$, respectively. Kinome diagram is reproduced courtesy of Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (www. cellsignal.com). ${ }^{44}$
five kinases have a threonine as gatekeeper, as well as CSK, BTK, and HER-4, which show relatively strong inhibition by 66 (between $10 \%$ and $50 \%$ activity remaining compared to a DMSO control).

The local and global selectivity profiles prompted us to test the binding of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ against a set of 49 kinases (Ambit Biosciences Corporation) selected among the nearly 100 that are predicted to have a small gatekeeper based on sequence and structure analysis. ${ }^{19}$ Interestingly, in competition binding assays, compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ shows significant affinity (kinase activity $<10 \%$ with respect to DMSO control) to only 15 of these 49 kinases (see Supporting Information).

Considering all these selectivity tests (Figure 3), compound 66 has been profiled against a total of 143 kinases (three kinases, EphA2, EphB3, and EphB4, were tested twice) about half of which were chosen because of their small gatekeeper residue. Only 21 kinases with a threonine gatekeeper showed high inhibition by 66, and 10 of them are Eph kinases (Figure 3). Among the kinases with a gatekeeper different from threonine, only GCK and MLK1 (both with methionine as a gatekeeper) are inhibited by compound 66. Interestingly, the selectivity of $\mathbf{6 6}$ is consistent with the recently published kinase tree constructed by using SAR data, ${ }^{20}$ as the kinases that bind compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ colocalize in a small branch of the SAR-based dendrogram (Abl, Src, and Eph families; see Supporting Information). Moreover, EphA7 is located in another branch of the SAR-based


Figure 4. Time series of the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) of residues 613-883 of the kinase domain of EphB4 with respect to the X-ray structure (PDB code 2VWX). The loop 772-778 was not taken into account because it is not present in the crystal structure.
dendrogram, in agreement with the 100-1000 lower affinity with respect to the other 10 Eph kinases.

The profiles provide evidence for a good specificity of compound 66, as it shows significant inhibition for a relatively small fraction of the human kinome, which is comparable to, or even more specific than some type I kinase inhibitors currently used as drugs, e.g., dasatinib ${ }^{21}$ and sunitinib. ${ }^{9}$ The high selectivity may originate from fully exploring the inner hydrophobic pocket whose size is controlled by the gatekeeper. In addition, the rigidity of this compound may contribute to its selectivity.

Binding Mode of Compound 66 Investigated by MD Simulations. Although the SAR of the 28 xanthine derivatives (Tables 1 and 2) is consistent with the pose of compounds 3 and 4 predicted by automatic docking, ${ }^{6}$ explicit water molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were carried out to further validate the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$, which is the most potent of all derivatives. The MD run started from the pose of 66 obtained by automatic flexible ligand docking (see computational methods section). The structure of EphB4 is stable in the 45 ns simulation, as indicated by the time series of the $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{rmsd}$ (Figure 4). Importantly, the hydrogen bonds with the hinge region (i.e., between the backbone polar groups of Met696 and the pyrimidine ring of compound 66) are conserved during the entire run except for some transient ruptures of the one involving the carbonyl group of Met696 (Figure 5A,B). Furthermore, the side chain of Ser757 is involved in a rather stable hydrogen bond with the methoxy oxygen of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ (Figure 5C). On the other hand, a change in the orientation of the hydroxyl group of compound 66 was observed after about 12 ns . The two hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Glu664 and the backbone NH of Asp758 broke apart with concomitant formation of a rather stable hydrogen bond with the backbone CO of Ser 757 (Figure 5D). This change in the hydrogen bonding pattern of the hydroxyl group occurred immediately after the rotation of the peptide bond between Ser757 and Asp758 (Figure 5) and persisted until the end of the MD run. In other words, the reorientation of the Ser 757 CO and Asp758 NH groups promoted the new hydrogen bond pattern.

Overall, the 45 ns MD simulation of the complex of EphB4 with compound 66 shows that the major features of the binding mode predicted by docking are stable. These include the orientation of the phenyl in the hydrophobic pocket and the two hydrogen bonds with the hinge region. It is interesting to note that $\mathbf{6 6}$ forms by its hydroxyl substituent one or two additional hydrogen bonds compared to compound 54, which is consistent with its $\sim 30-35$ higher affinity than that of $\mathbf{5 4}$ (Table 1). Furthermore, the methyl group at


Figure 5. Hydrogen bonds between compound 66 and the ATPbinding site of EphB4: (A) schematic illustration; $(B-D)$ time series of hydrogen-bond distances, i.e., the distance between the donor and acceptor atoms. The colors are consistent with those used in part A.
$\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is involved in favorable van der Waals interactions with the side chains of Val629, Ala645, and Thr693 throughout the simulation (see Supporting Information). These van der Waals interactions contribute about $-1.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the binding energy. Compounds $\mathbf{6 6}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ differ only by this methyl group so that the former has a more favorable desolvation energy upon binding, which, taken together with the additional van der Waals interactions with EphB4, explains the 100 times higher potency of compound $\mathbf{6 6} \mathrm{vs}$ 60 (Table 1).

## 4. Conclusions

We had previously discovered a series of micromolar inhibitors of the tyrosine kinase EphB4 by fragment-based high-throughput docking of a library of about 700000 compounds. ${ }^{6}$ Here, we describe the structure-guided improvement of these relatively weak inhibitors by chemical synthesis which has culminated into the discovery of a single-digit nanomolar inhibitor 66, with a remarkable increase in ligand efficiency ${ }^{22}$ from 0.26 to $0.37 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ per non-hydrogen atom (for inhibitors 4 and 66, respectively). Notably, compound 66 has only two non-hydrogen atoms more than 4 (a methyl
group and a hydroxyl group on the same benzene ring), and these small but very effective substituents were suggested by analysis of the binding mode obtained by automatic docking. Furthermore, the predicted binding mode of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ into the ATP-binding site of EphB4 is stable in a 45 ns MD simulation with explicit solvent. Analysis of the MD trajectory suggests that the higher potency of $\mathbf{6 6}$ with respect to $\mathbf{4}$ is due to favorable van der Waals interactions of the methyl group with the side chains of Val629, Ala645, and the gatekeeper residue (Thr693), as well as a hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl group and the backbone CO of Ser757. In addition, quantum mechanics calculations indicate that the beneficial effect of the methyl group is not due to a lower conformational strain upon binding but rather to the aforementioned van der Waals interactions. Although the SAR of all the compounds synthesized in this work is consistent with the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{6 6}$ obtained by docking and slightly refined by explicit solvent MD, its definitive validation awaits for X-ray crystallography, which is being pursued vigorously and will be reported in due course. Finally, compound 66 shows a relatively good selectivity profile, which is an important requirement for further consideration as a lead compound.

## 5. Experimental Section

Docking. The flexible ligand docking employed here for compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6 6}$ is a fragment-based approach, as it exploits the optimal binding modes of mainly rigid fragments. The approach consists of four consecutive steps which have been detailed in a previous work. ${ }^{23}$ Briefly, the four steps are: (1) decomposition of the ligand into mainly rigid fragments by the program DAIM, ${ }^{24}$ (2) fragment docking with evaluation of electrostatic solvation ${ }^{25,26}$ by the program SEED, ${ }^{27,28}$ (3) flexible docking using the position and orientation of its fragments as anchors by the program FFLD, ${ }^{29,30}$ and (4) final minimization by CHARMM. ${ }^{31,32}$ The protein structure (PDB file 2 VWX ) was kept rigid in all steps.

Parametrization of Compound 66 and MD Simulations. Initial Mulliken partial charges of 1,7,8-trimethyl-1 H -imidazo[2,1- $f$ ]-purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione were obtained by optimization with Gaussian 03 at the HF/6-31G(d) level. ${ }^{33}$ Partial charges of hydrogen atoms in the methyl groups were set to $0.09 e$, and the excessive positive charge was added to the adjacent carbon atom. To determine the partial charges on the remaining atoms, interaction energies between each polar group and a TIP3 water molecule ${ }^{34}$ were evaluated as the difference between the supermolecule energy and the sum of the individual monomer energies. The partial charges on the polar groups were then manually adjusted to fit the interaction energies calculated by CHARMM to the $a b$ initio values. Bond lengths and angle values were also modified to reproduce the quantum mechanically obtained geometries. Normal mode contributions generated with the MOLVIB program were adjusted to scaled HF/ $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ values. Final steps included introduction of the previously parametrized phenol and methyl moieties, ${ }^{33}$ followed by optimization of the remaining missing parameters.

The coordinates of EphB4 were downloaded from the PDB database (2VWX). As the X-ray structure contains only residues $608-888$, the $-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ group and $-\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ group were added to the N-terminal Lys608 and C-terminal Ala888, respectively. To reproduce neutral pH conditions, the side chains of aspartates and glutamates were negatively charged, those of lysines and arginines were positively charged, and histidines were considered neutral. The protein was immersed in an orthorhombic box of pre-equilibrated water molecules. The size of the box was chosen to have a minimal distance of $13 \AA$ between the boundary and any atom of the protein. The program VMD ${ }^{35}$
was used for setting up the simulation system, while minimization, heating, and production runs were performed with NAMD ${ }^{36}$ using the CHARMM22 force field ${ }^{33}$ and the TIP3P model of water. Periodic boundary conditions were applied, and the particle-mesh Ewald approach ${ }^{37}$ was used for the long-range electrostatics. The van der Waals interactions were truncated at a cutoff of $12 \AA$, and a switch function is applied starting at $10 \AA$. The MD simulation was performed at constant temperature of 310 K by applying the Langevin thermostat and at constant pressure of 1 atm using the Nose-Hoover Langevin piston pressure control. ${ }^{38,39}$ The time step was 2 fs , and the SHAKE algorithm ${ }^{40}$ was used to fix the length of covalent bonds involving hydrogen atoms. Coordinate sets were saved every 2 ps .

Evaluation of Strain Energy by Quantum Mechanics. Systematic rotation of rotatable bonds in increments of $10^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}$ for the two phenyls and the methoxy, respectively, was performed using CHARMM, and the resulting conformations were minimized with Gaussian 03 using the AM1 Hamiltonian. Removal of duplicate conformations was done using the Ultrafast Shape Recognition protocol ${ }^{41}$ supplemented by a chirality descriptor that distinguishes molecules that are mirror images of each other. Geometry optimization of the resulting structures was done at the B3LYP level using the PC GAMESS version of the GAMESS quantum chemical package. ${ }^{42}$ Redundant conformations were discarded by a second Shape Recognition analysis. The strain energy was calculated as the energy difference between the minimized bound conformation and the global minimum obtained by the systematic search.

Chemistry. All reactions, unless otherwise stated, were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. All reagents were used as received unless otherwise noted. Solvents were purchased in the best quality available, degassed by purging thoroughly with nitrogen, and dried over activated molecular sieves of appropriate size. Alternatively, they were purged with argon and passed through alumina columns in a solvent purification system (Innovative Technology). Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using Merck TLC silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Flash column chromatography was performed over silica gel (230-400 mesh). NMR spectra were recorded on a AV2 400 or AV2 500 MHz Bruker spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm. The spectra are calibrated to the residual ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the solvents. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet ( q ), doublet-doublet (dd), quintet (quint), septet (sept), multiplet (m), and broad (br). Melting points were determined on a Buchi melting point B-540 instrument. Methylurea, benzylurea, benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxole-4-carbaldehyde (piperonal 15), 2-methyl-3-methoxybenzoic acid (19), and 2-methyl-5-hydroxybenzoic acid (20) were purchased from Fluka. The following compounds 2-bromo-1-phenylethanone, 2-bromo-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-chloro-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(3-hydroxy.phenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-chloro-1-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanone, 2-bromo-4'-cyanoacetophenone, 2-chloro-1-(4-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone, and 2-bromo-1-(4-methylphenyl)-1-ethanone were purchased from Fluka. 2-Bromo-1-(3-methylphenyl)-1-ethanone and 2-bromo-1-(2-methyl-phenyl)-1-ethanone were purchased from Synchem, and 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-bromo-1-ethanone was purchased from Acros Organics.

High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry was performed on a Finnigan MAT 900 (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA) double-focusing magnetic sector mass spectrometer. Ten spectra were acquired. A mass accuracy of $\leq 2 \mathrm{ppm}$ was obtained in the peak matching acquisition mode by using a solution containing $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PEG200, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PPG450, and 1.5 mg of NaOAc (all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) dissolved in 100 mL of MeOH (HPLC Supra
grade, Scharlau, E-Barcelona, Spain) as internal standard. The purity of all tested compounds was determined by HPLC on a Finnigan Voyager GC8000 Top spectrometer using an Interchim Strategy ( $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) column by running a $5-80 \%$ gradient for water $(+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}+0.01 \%$ TFA) $/ \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Flow rate was $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, and UV detection was set to 254 nm . Unless otherwise stated, all the compounds showed $\geq 95 \%$ purity.

1-(Benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxol-4-yl)ethanol (16). ${ }^{43}$ A solution of MeMgBr in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 9.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was diluted with THF ( 10 mL ) and cooled to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-4-carbaldehyde $15(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 9.9 mL of THF was slowly added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was then quenched by pouring it into 100 mL of ice cold saturated ammonium chloride, and the aqueous layer was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to provide the desired compound as a colorless oil (971 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=6.87$ (ddd, $J=7.8,1.4,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, OH not observed. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=147.3$, 143.9, 127.3, 121.7, 118.7, 107.7, 100.8, 66.2, 23.3. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3359,2972,2887,1455,1245,1039,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 189.1$; found, $189.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

1-(Benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxol-4-yl)ethanone (17). ${ }^{43}$ A mixture of 1-(benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxol-4-yl)ethanol 16 ( $971 \mathrm{mg}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(5.08 \mathrm{~g}, 58.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(36 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred vigorously for 48 h . The reaction mixture was then filtered through a path of Celite and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the desired compound as a white solid ( $679 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). Mp 95-97 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.37$ (dd, $J=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=195.4,148.6,147.9,121.4,121.2,120.3,112.5$, 101.5, 30.2. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3068,2908,1671,1625,1450,1361$, 1283, 1240, 1179, 1060, 1022, 950, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (EI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 164.1$; found, $163.9[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-2-bromoethanone (18). ${ }^{43}$ To a solution of 1-(benzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxol-4-yl)ethanone $17(679 \mathrm{mg}, 4.14$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 19 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , concentrated, and redissolved in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (toluene/hexane, 1:4), followed by recrystallization in hexane/EtOAc afforded the desired compound as a white solid ( $309 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ yield). Mp $75-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.01 (dd, $J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (dd, $J=8.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=188.2$, 148.5, 147.8, 121.9, 121.7, 117.1, 113.3, 101.8, 34.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=$ 3002, 2942, 2917, 1693, 1624, 1451, 1387, 1248, 1204, 1177, 1048, 942, 768, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrNaO}_{3}, 264.9$; found, $265.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

1-(3-Methoxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone (21). To a solution of 2-methyl-3-methoxybenzoic acid $19(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry diethyl ether $(68 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, methyllithium $\left(1.6 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $22 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise with vigorous stirring. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 3.5 h . The reaction was quenched by pouring the mixture into ice cold $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 16:1) afforded the desired compound as a colorless oil ( $613 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=203.1,158.2,140.6,126.1,125.9,120.0,112.7,55.8,30.4$, 12.6. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3001,2960,2938,2836,1684,1577,1456$, 1258, 1051, $781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$, 187.1; found, $187.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

1-(3-Hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone (22). A solution of 1-(3-methoxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone 21 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chlorobenzene ( 11 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(475 \mathrm{mg}, 3.56$ mmol ) at room temperature and then refluxed for 5 h . A second portion of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( $475 \mathrm{mg}, 3.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was refluxed for an additional hour until no starting material was detected by TLC. The reaction mixture was carefully treated with 1 M HCl at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent and column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 6:1) afforded the desired compound as a yellow solid ( $328 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ yield). Mp $122-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=203.4,154.7,140.4$, 126.2, 123.3, 120.9, 118.0, 30.1, 12.4. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3221,1652$, 1577, 1466, 1360, 1277, $782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$, 173.1; found, $173.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

1-(5-Hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone (23). To a solution of 2-methyl-5-hydroxybenzoic acid $20(900 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6.5 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{MeLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in ether, $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 17 h while slowly warming up to room temperature. EtOAc ( 10 mL ) was slowly added to the reaction mixture, which was subsequently washed ( 10 mL of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water, and 10 mL of brine). After drying of the organic layer over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) to afford desired compound as a white solid ( $608 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield). Mp $129-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (dd, $J=8.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=201.9,153.4,138.5,133.1,130.2,118.7$, 116.1, 29.5, 20.6. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3188,2929,1657,1603,1456$, 1434, 1302, 1221, $734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$, 173.1; found, $173.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

General Procedure for the $\alpha$-Bromination of Acetophenones. A solution of acetophenone ( 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.18 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added to a refluxing solution of copper(II) bromide ( 1.99 equiv) in EtOAc ( 0.45 M ). The mixture was then refluxed for 10 h . The solution was filtered through Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a green solid. The solid was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (toluene) to afford the corresponding products in pure form. This method was used to obtain 24 and 25.

2-Bromo-1-(3-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone (24). White solid. Yield: $61 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.15-$ $7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=195.1,154.7,137.2$, 126.5, 124.4, 120.6, 118.8, 34.2, 12.4. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3420,2933$, 1683, 1581, 1466, 1327, $796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrNaO}_{2}, 250.9$; found, $251.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

2-Bromo-1-(5-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)ethanone (25). White solid. Yield: $50 \%$. $\mathrm{Mp} 63-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.14-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=194.1,153.3,135.4,133.4,131.5119 .4,115.7,33.5,20.3$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3232,2962,2924,1662,1562,1492,1294,1198,824$, $632 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (GC/MS), m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}, 230.1$; found, $230.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

General Method for the Preparation of Alkylated Xanthines $\mathbf{2 6}-\mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{4 2}, \mathbf{4 4}$. 3-Alkyl-8-bromoxanthine ( 0.55 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ), and distilled $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine ( 1.5 equiv) was added. After the mixture was
stirred for 5 min at room temperature, $\alpha$-bromoacetophenone (1 equiv) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for $1-3$ days. The mixture was then poured into $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$, and the formed precipitate was filtered and washed with water to afford 26-42 in pure form.

3-Benzyl-8-bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (26). White solid. Yield: $76 \%$. Mp $249-252^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $191.2,153.9,150.2,148.5,136.5,134.5,133.5,129.2,129.0,128.4$, 128.2, 127.3, 127.3, 109.0, 52.9, 44.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3190,3059,2978$, 2936, 1707, 1697, 1669, 1592, 1536, 1446, 1358, 1260, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 439.0$; found, 439.1 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-Benzyl-8-bromo-7-[2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (27). White solid. Yield: $62 \%$. Mp 258$261{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.18-8.22 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.38 (m, 5H), 5.93 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=189.9$, $153.9,150.2,148.6,136.5,131.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.2, 128.4, 127.5, 127.3, 127.3, 116.1 (d, $J=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 109.0, 52.8, 44.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3184,3068,2972,2934,1697,1665,1592,1536$, 1359, 1234, 834, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrFN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 479.0131$; found, $479.0137[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (28). Yellow solid. Yield: $63 \%$. Mp $290-$ $292{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.34 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=190.9,153.9,150.6$, 150.4, 149.0, 138.2, 129.8, 129.0, 124.0, 108.8, 53.2, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3158,3037,2939,2831,1674,1523,1369,1346,1220,1203$, $852 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z:$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 407.9$; found, $408.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

7-[2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-8-bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-1H-purine-2,6(3H,7H)-dione (29). White solid. Yield: $61 \%$. Mp 297-299 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $11.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=189.0,153.9,152.5,150.4,148.9$, 148.1, 129.1, 127.9, 125.0, 108.9, 108.4, 107.3, 102.3, 52.6, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3155,3039,2942,2811,1674,1447,1357,1258,1035$, $930,869,817 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 428.9811$; found, $428.9808[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

7-[2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-8-bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-1H-purine-2,6-dione (30). White solid. Yield: $67 \%$. Mp $306-308{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=188.1,153.9,150.4,148.9,148.7,148.4,129.0,122.0$, $119.9,115.9,113.6,108.9,102.4,55.0,28.5$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3170,3057$, $2831,1680,1454,1352,1235,1064,946,745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m /$ z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 428.9811$; found, $428.9808[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}{ }^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (31). White solid. Yield: $75 \%$. Mp $294-296^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\text {H }} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.1,159.6$, 153.9, 150.4, 148.9, 135.9, 130.2, 129.0, 123.2, 120.8, 112.8, 108.8, 56.4, 56.1, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3170,2987,2900,1673$, 1462, 1357, 1204, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$ : 415.0018; found, $415.0019[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-purine-2,6-dione (32). White solid. Yield: $75 \%$. Mp $263-265{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.30$ (s, 1H), $7.71(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,1.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (ddd, $J=8.1,2.6$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.0,159.5,153.9,150.4,148.9$, $134.8,130.2,129.1,120.7,120.6,112.5,108.9,55.4,53.0,28.5$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3145,3027,2807,1679,1535,1365,1261,748$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 415.0018$; found, $415.0018[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1H-purine-2,6-dione (33). White solid. Yield: $73 \%$. Mp 280-282 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.29$ (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta=189.2,164.1,153.9,150.4,148.9,130.6,129.1,126.4$, 114.3, 108.9, 55.6, 52.5, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3153,3034,2941$, $2838,1680,1600,1364,1173,834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 393.2$; found, $393.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(2-methylphenyl)-2-oxo-ethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (34). White solid. Yield: $58 \%$. Mp $242-244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=194.1$, 153.9, 150.4, 148.9, 138.3, 133.7, 132.7, 131.9, 129.1, 129.0, 126.1, 108.8, 54.3, 28.5, 20.6. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3154,3025,2814$, 1676, 1535, 1364, 1208, $768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 399.0069$; found, $399.0068[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(3-methylphenyl)-2-oxo-ethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (35). White solid. Yield: $75 \%$. Mp $280-282{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.2,153.9,150.4,148.9$, $138.6,135.1,133.5,129.1,128.9,128.5,125.3,108.9,52.9,28.5$, 20.7. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3149,3024,2813,1678,1536,1360,1164$, $715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$, 399.0069; found, $399.0070[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(4-methylphenyl)-2-oxo-ethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (36). White solid. Yield: $68 \%$. Mp $293-295{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=190.6$, $153.9,150.4,148.9,145.2,131.0,129.5,129.1,128.2,108.9,52.7$, 28.5, 21.2. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3155,3002,2819,1677,1538,1369$, $1203,751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 377.0$; found, $377.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (37). White solid. Yield: $48 \%$. Mp $289-291^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 11.19 (s, 1H), $7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3$, $7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (dd, $J=8.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (ddd, $J=7.9$, $7.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.8,159.2,153.9,150.4,148.9,136.1,130.0$, 129.1, 120.5, 119.5, 117.5, 108.9, 55.8, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3150$, 3034, 2807, 1680, 1535, 1366, 1208, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 400.9861$; found, 400.9856 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (38). White solid. Yield: $75 \%$. Mp $299-305^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (ddd, $J=8.1,2.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.0,157.8,153.9,150.4$, $148.9,134.8,130.2,129.1,121.6,119.1,114.1,108.9,52.9$, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3370,3008,2822,1676,1534,1364,1209$, $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$, 400.9861 ; found, $400.9860[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-[2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3-methyl-1H-purine-2,6-dione (39). Yellow solid. Yield: 74\%. Mp $320-322{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.28$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta=188.8,163.2,153.9,150.4,148.9,130.8,129.2,125.0,115.6$,
108.9, 52.4, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3154,2818,1680,1577,1360$, 1208, 1166, $759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 400.9861$; found, $400.9858[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(2-methyl-3-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (40). White solid. Yield: 72\%. Mp 277-279 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.32$ (s, 1H), $9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=195.1,156.1$, $153.9,150.4,148.9,136.0,129.0,126.4,123.6,118.9,118.6,108.8$, 54.7, 28.5, 12.2. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3411,3145,3023,2823,1663,1535$, $1366,1274,1205,785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 415.0018$; found, $415.0011[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(2-methyl-5-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-purine-2,6-dione (42). White solid. Yield: $35 \%$. Mp 271-274 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.32$ (s, 1H), $9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=194.1,155.3$, 153.9, 150.4, 148.9, 134.5, 132.9, 129.1, 127.9, 119.6, 115.4, 108.8, 54.3, 28.5, 19.6. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3370,3145,3025,2829$, 1677, 1535, 1365, 1293, 1183, $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 415.0018$; found, $415.0007[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-Bromo-7-[2-(4-cyanophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (44). White solid. Yield: $79 \%$. Mp 281$284{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=191.1,153.9$, $150.4,149.0,136.7,132.9,129.0,128.9,117.9,116.3,108.8,53.1$, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3162,3043,2963,2932,1705,1671,1540$, 1369, 1204, $834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11^{-}}$ $\mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 388.0 ; found, $388.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

8-Bromo-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-7-[2-(2-methyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-1 H-purine-2,6-dione (41). 8-Bromo-3,9-dihydro-3-methyl-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione ( $\mathbf{1 3}, 400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was then heated to reflux for 2 h . EtOH was then removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting solid was washed with cold EtOH and filtered off to afford a light-yellow solid. A mixture of this solid ( 250 mg , 0.88 mmol ) and $\alpha$-bromo-4-hydroxy-2-methylacetophenone ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 2.5 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . The mixture was then poured into $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$, and the formed precipitate was filtrated off and washed with water to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield). Mp 313-315 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=190.6,161.6,153.9,150.4,148.9,142.6,132.6$, 129.2, 124.2, 118.9, 112.8, 108.9, 53.6, 28.5, 21.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=$ $3225,3145,3025,2987,1673,1540,1371,1204,1065,743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 415.0018$; found, $415.0015[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-Bromo-7-[2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3,7-dihydro-3-methyl-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (43). 8-Bromo-3,9-dihydro-3-methyl-1 H -purine-2,6-dione ( $\mathbf{1 3}, 500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ), and distilled diisopropylethylamine ( $0.534 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After the mixture was stirred at 5 min at room temperature, $\alpha$-chloro-3,4-dihydroxyacetophenone ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The mixture was then poured into $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$, and the organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting suspension was filtered and washed with MeOH to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield). Mp $311-314{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=188.8,153.9,151.9,150.4,148.9,145.6,129.2,125.3,121.7$,
115.3, 114.7, 108.9, 52.3, 28.5. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3460,3233,3044,2945$, 1698, 1666, 1357, 1289, 1186, 1065, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 416.9808$; found, $416.9805[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

General Method for the Cyclization of Alkylated Xanthines $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}, \mathbf{5 4}, \mathbf{5 5}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 0}, \mathbf{6 2}, \mathbf{6 4 - 6 8}$. A mixture of 3-alkyl-8-bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-(2-oxo-2-phenyl-ethyl)- 1 H -purine-2,6-dione (1.0 equiv) and the corresponding primary amine (4.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was heated in a sealed tube at $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solid was filtered off and washed with water, affording the corresponding products in pure form. This method was used to obtain compounds $45-50,54,55,58,60,62,64-68$.

General Method for the Cyclization of Alkylated Xanthines $\mathbf{5 1} \mathbf{- 5 3}, \mathbf{5 6}, \mathbf{5 7}, \mathbf{5 9}, \mathbf{6 1}, \mathbf{6 3}$. A mixture of 3-alkyl-8-bromo-3,7-dihydro-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (1.0 equiv) and butylamine ( 4.0 equiv) in $n-\mathrm{PrOH}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) was heated in a sealed tube at $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solid was filtered off and washed with water. Subsequent recrystallization in EtOH afforded the corresponding products in pure form. This method was used to obtain compounds $51-53,56,57,59,61,63$.

1-Benzyl-8-(2-methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-1 H -imidazo $[2,1-f]$ -purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (45). White solid. Yield: $40 \%$. Mp 301-303 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.08$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=154.7$, $153.3,152.4,150.6,147.8,136.9,132.9,131.1,129.5,128.3$, $128.2,128.1,127.5,127.1,127.1,122.4,120.9,113.0,105.4$, 99.1, 55.6, 44.9, 1C missing due to overlapping. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=$ $3151,3030,2817,1669,1488,1263,1155,1024,754,529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 486.1542$; found, $486.1540[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

1-Benzyl-7-p-fluorophenyl-8-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (46). White solid. Yield: $19 \%$. Mp 251-253 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ 161.9 (d, $J=246.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 154.7,153.4,152.4,150.7,147.8,136.9$, 132.0, 131.3, 129.9 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.6, 128.3, 127.1, 127.1, $124.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.2,121.0,115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.1$, 105.6, 99.1, 55.7, 44.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3160,3025,2822,1671$, 1487, 1225, 1157, 841, 744, 529, $409 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 504.4$; found, $505.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-p-nitrophenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (47). Yellow solid. Yield: $63 \%$. Mp 323-325 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.06$ (s, 1H), 8.35 (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (dd, $J=7.6$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=154.4,153.3,153.2$, $150.9,148.4,146.5,134.8,131.5,130.8,129.5,127.7,123.6,122.1$, 121.2, 113.1, 107.6, 99.2, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3012,2826,1680$, 1501, 1016, 844, 720, 600, $432 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z:$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 455.1080$; found, $455.1085[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

7-(Benzo[d] [1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-8-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1 H imidazo $1,2-f]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (48). White solid. Yield: $33 \%$. Mp 323-328 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $10.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.84$ (m, 2H), $6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $154.9,153.3,152.7,150.9,147.8,147.4,147.1,132.8,131.3$, $129.9,122.5,121.7,121.6,120.9,112.9,108.2,107.9,104.9$, 101.2, 99.0, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3142,3007,2820,1674$, 1484, 1448, 1235, 1024, $737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 454.1127$; found, $454.1125[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

7-(Benzo [d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-8-(4-hydroxybutyl)-1-methyl-1 H imidazo $1,2-f]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (49). White solid. Yield:
$12 \%$. Mp 267-270 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $10.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ (quint, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.24 (quint, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ 153.2, 152.7, 150.9, 147.9, 147.7, 147.6, 131.9, 123.1, 121.4, 109.3, 108.6, 104.8, 101.5, 98.8, 59.8, 43.6, 29.1, 28.8, 25.0. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3575,3369,3161,3012,2936,2822,1676,1498,1451$, 1243, 1028, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 420.1284$; found, $420.1289[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

7-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-8-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl1 H -imidazo $[1,2-f]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (50). White solid. Yield: $38 \%$. Mp $347-349{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta=11.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=154.8,153.3,152.9,150.9,147.7,147.2,144.6,131.2,129.5$, 126.9, 122.6, 121.4, 120.8, 119.9, 112.9, 110.3, 108.5, 106.7, 101.1, 99.0, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3520,3151,3000,2822$, 1667, 1600, 1507, 1438, 1025, $739 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 454.1127$; found, $454.1113[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-o-methoxyphenyl- $1 H$-imidazo [2,1-f]purine$\mathbf{2 , 4}(\mathbf{3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (51). White solid. Yield: $28 \%$. Mp $242-$ $244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(q u i n t, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08$ (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=157.3,153.2,152.7,150.9,147.3,132.3$, 131.5, 129.0, 120.6, 116.3, 111.4, 105.3, 98.8, 55.4, 43.4, 29.9, 28.8, 18.8, 12.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3145,2999,2934,2837,1674$, 1514, 1461, 1246, $745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 390.1542$; found, $390.1545[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-m-methoxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo $[2,1-f$ ]purine$\mathbf{2 , 4} \mathbf{( 3 H , 8 H})$-dione (52). White solid. Yield: $52 \%$. Mp 206$208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.91$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ (s, 3H), 1.63 (quint, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.13 (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $159.4,153.2,152.7,150.9,147.9,132.0,130.0,129.2,120.8$, $114.8,114.1,105.2,98.8,55.2,43.5,30.2,28.8,18.9,13.1$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3141,3044,2957,2817,1679,1509,1467,1156$, $716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$, 390.1542 ; found, $390.1544[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-p-methoxyphenyl-1 $H$-imidazo[2,1-f $]$ purine$\mathbf{2 , 4 ( 3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (53). White solid. Yield: $89 \%$. Mp 272$274{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62$ (quint, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3144,3051,2963,2864,2802,1671,1507,1247$, 831, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$, 390.1542 ; found, $390.1541[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-o-methylphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (54). White solid. Yield: $64 \%$. Mp 301-303 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.98$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.6$, $8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.04-7.08 (m, 2H), 7.00 (ddd, $J=8.6,7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta=154.8,153.3,152.9,150.9,147.7,147.2,144.6,131.2$, $129.5,126.9,122.6,121.4,120.8,119.9,112.9,110.3,108.5$, 106.7, 101.1, 99.0, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3166,3007,2811$, 1666, 1490, 1157, $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 424.1386$; found, $424.1389[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-m-methylphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f $]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (55). White solid. Yield: $72 \%$. Mp 313-315 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.98$
(s, 1H), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.21$ (m, 6H), $3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=154.9,153.3,152.7,150.9,147.9,137.5$, 133.0, 131.2, 129.8, 128.9, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 124.3, 122.6, 120.9, $112.9,105.2,99.1,55.7,28.8,20.8$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3160,3028,2822$, 1704, 1671, 1505, 1435, 1160, $738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 424.1386$; found, $424.1383[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-m-methylphenyl-1 H -imidazo [2,1-f] purine$\mathbf{2 , 4 ( 3 H , 8 H})$-dione (56). White solid. Yield: $42 \%$. Mp 238$240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.62 (quint, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.12 (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=153.2$, 152.7, 150.9, 147.9, 138.3, 132.3, 129.6, 129.3, 128.8, 127.9, $125.8,104.9,98.8,43.3,30.1,28.8,20.8,18.8,13.0$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3160,3044,2949,2802,1672,1509,1147,721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}, 374.1593$; found, 374.1584 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-p-methylphenyl-1H-imidazo[2,1-f]purine$\mathbf{2 , 4}(\mathbf{3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (57). White solid. Yield: $24 \%$. Mp 227$229{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62$ (quint, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11$ (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=153.2,152.7,150.9,147.8$, 138.6, 132.2, 129.5, 128.8, 125.0, 104.7, 98.8, 43.3, 30.2, 28.8, 20.7, 18.8, 13.1. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3169,3051,2958,2858,2785$, 1671, 1506, 1294, 1147, $825 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}, 374.1593$; found, $374.1589[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-o-hydroxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f $]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (58). Yellow solid. Yield: $50 \%$. Mp 350-352 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.95$ (s, 1H), $9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.14$ (m, 2H), 7.00-7.04 (m, 2H), 6.81 (dd, $J=8.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=155.5,154.8,153.3,152.7$, $150.9,147.5,130.7,130.6,130.1,129.7,129.4,122.8,120.5$, $118.3,115.5,114.7,112.7,106.4,98.9,55.5,28.7$. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3251,3166,2821,1677,1486,1445,1159,744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 426.1178$; found, 426.1174 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-o-hydroxyphenyl-1 $H$-imidazo [2,1-f $]$ purine$\mathbf{2 , 4}(\mathbf{3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (59). White solid. Yield: $22 \%$. Mp 209$211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ (quint, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.08 (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=155.8,153.2,152.6,150.9,147.4$, $132.2,131.2,129.6,119.2,115.7,114.8,105.1,98.8,43.4,30.1$, 28.8, 18.8, 13.0. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3138,3044,2953,2870,2811$, 1683, 1509, 1200, $739 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 376.1386$; found, $376.1383[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-m-hydroxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (60). White solid. Yield: $59 \%$. Mp 353-355 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ 157.1, 154.9, 153.3, 152.7, 150.9, 147.9, 133.1, 131.1, 129.7, $129.4,129.2,122.6,120.9,118.3,115.4,114.4,112.9,105.1$, 99.1, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3147,3030,2817,1676,1482$, $1299,1159,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5^{-}}$ $\mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 426.1178$; found, $426.1181[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-m-hydroxyphenyl- 1 H -imidazo [2,1-f $]$ purine$\mathbf{2 , 4 ( 3 H , 8 H})$-dione (61). White solid. Yield: $41 \%$. Mp 256$258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.75 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), $7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (d, $J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=$
$7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ (quint, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.12 (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=157.6,153.2,152.7,150.9,147.8,132.3,129.9$, 129.0, 119.5, 116.0, 115.6, 104.7, 98.8, 43.3, 30.2, 28.8, 18.8, 13.1. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3297,3166,3046,2963,2864,1675,1512,1459$, 1312, 1148, $722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5^{-}}$ $\mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 376.1386$; found, $376.1388[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-p-hydroxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f $]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (62). White solid. Yield: $3 \%$. Mp 364-366 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.94$ (s, 1H), $9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=157.6,155.0,153.3$, $152.5,150.9,147.7,133.4,131.1,129.9,129.2,122.6,120.9$, 118.7, 115.1, 112.9, 104.1, 99.0, 55.7, 28.7. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3326$, $3140,3005,2820,1678,1508,1438,1226,1159,838,742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 426.1178$; found, $426.1177[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(Butyl)-1-methyl-7-p-hydroxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo[2,1- $f$ ]purine$\mathbf{2 , 4}(\mathbf{3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (63). White solid. Yield: $10 \%$. Mp 277$281{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62$ (quint, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (sext, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=158.2,153.2,152.6,150.9$, $147.6,132.5,130.5,118.3,115.6,104.1,98.8,43.1,30.2,28.8$, 18.8, 13.1. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3283,3160,3043,2973,1676,1510$, $1226,1148,843,716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 376.1386$; found, $376.1381[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-( $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-methyl-3'-hydroxyphenyl-1H-imidazo[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (64). Light-yellow solid. Yield: $56 \%$. Mp $372-374{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=10.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-$ $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=155.1,154.7,153.3,152.7,150.9$, $147.4,132.1,130.8,129.6,128.3,125.4,124.5,122.2,121.9$, 120.5, 115.3, 112.5, 106.1, 99.0, 55.5, 28.7, 12.9. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=$ $3326,3145,3062,2963,2817,1669,1508,1280,1157,747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 440.1335$; found, $440.1332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-(2'-methyl-4'-hydroxyphenyl$1 H$-imidazo $2,1-f]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (65). White solid. Yield: $52 \%$. Mp $330-333{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta=10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.30 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H). IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3208,3048,2942,2838$, 1675, 1596, 1455, 1205, 1153, $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 440.1335$; found, $440.1330[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-( $2^{\prime}$-methyl-5'-hydrox yphenyl1 H -imidazo[2,1-f $]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (66). White solid. Yield: $45 \%$. Mp $350-352{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta=10.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=154.6$, $154.4,153.3,152.7,150.9,147.4,131.8,130.8,130.7,129.5$, $127.8,127.6,122.2,120.5,117.7,116.2,112.6,106.1,99.0$, 55.5, 28.7, 18.6. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3296,3145,1698,1670,1593$, 1505, 1282, 1153, 1017, $751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}, 440.1335$; found, $440.1333[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-( $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-dihydroxyphenyl- $\mathbf{H}$ imidazo $[2,1-f]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (67). White solid. Yield: $34 \%$. Mp 333-336 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta=155.1,153.3,152.5,150.9,147.7,145.9,144.9,133.7,131.1$, $129.9,122.7,120.8,119.4,118.9,115.4,115.3,112.9,103.9,99.0$, 55.7, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3446,3243,3062,1714,1656,1506$, 1464, 1276, 1148, $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 442.1121$; found, $442.1122[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-p-cyanophenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f $]$ purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (68). White solid. Yield: $68 \%$. Mp 303-305 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ 154.4, 153.3, 153.1, 150.9, 148.3, 132.9, 132.3, 131.5, 131.1, $129.5,127.4,122.2,121.2,118.3,113.1,110.5,107.2,99.2$, 55.6, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3010,2835,1680,1497,745,605$, $445 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$, 435.1182; found, $435.1187[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

7-p-Fluorophenyl-8-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $1 H$-imidazo [2,1-f] purine$\mathbf{2 , 4}(\mathbf{3 H}, \mathbf{8 H})$-dione (69). A mixture of $46(528 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(339 \mathrm{mg})$, and dry ammonium formate ( 692 mg , $10.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute methanol ( 16 mL ) was heated in a sealed tube at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the mixture was filtered over a short path of Celite. The residue was evaporated under reduced pressure, affording the desired compound as a white solid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ yield). Mp 330-333 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ $11.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=162.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 246.1 Hz ), 155.2, 154.7, 152.7, 151.7, 148.9, 132.3, 131.7, 130.2 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 130.1, 125.3 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.9, 121.4, 115.9 (d, $J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 113.4, 105.9, 99.5, 56.2. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3159$, 2900, 1668, 1500, 1393, 1242, 1065, 750, $536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$ : 414.3; found, $414.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

8-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-7-p-carboxyphenyl-1 H -imidazo-[2,1-f]purine-2,4(3H,8H)-dione (70). To a solution of 8-(2-methoxy-phenyl)-1-methyl-7- $p$-cyanophenyl- 1 H -imidazo[2, $1-f$ ]purine$2,4(3 H, 8 H)$-dione ( $68,85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(0.910 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.803 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature, water ( 1 mL ) was added, and the precipitate formed was filtered off, affording the desired product as a white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=11.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ not observed. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=166.6$, 154.6, 153.3, 152.9, 150.9, 148.2, 132.4, 131.9, 131.3, 130.3, 129.6, 129.2, 127.0, 122.4, 121.1, 113.0, 106.4, 99.1, 55.6, 28.8. IR (film): $\tilde{v}=3013,2839,1681,1547,1264,1158,1013,745,570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI), $m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}, 454.1127$; found, $454.1135[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HPLC purity $88 \%$.

FRET Based Enzymatic Assay. Compounds were tested in the Z'-LYTE kinase assay kit-Tyr 1 peptide (Invitrogen, USA) in a Corning 384-well microtiter plate. Fluorescence progress curves were measured upon excitation at 400 nm and emission at 445 and 520 nm . The assay contained a final concentration of EphB4 and ATP of $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ and $125 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (which is near its $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ ), respectively, and was run at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (inhibitor concentration at which enzyme activity is reduced by $50 \%$ ) are determined after carrying out assays at 10 different concentrations between $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 10 pM .
$\left[\gamma^{-3} \mathbf{P}\right]$ ATP Based Enzymatic Assay. The enzymatic assays of selectivity profile were performed in Reaction Biology Corporation and the National Centre for Protein Kinase Profiling of University Dundee. All assays ( $25.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume) performed at the University of Dundee were carried out robotically at room temperature and were linear with respect to time and enzyme concentration under the conditions used. Assays were performed
for 30 min using Multidrop Micro reagent dispensers (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA) in a 96 -well format. The concentration of magnesium acetate in the assays was 10 mM , and $\left[\gamma{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP ( $800 \mathrm{cpm} / \mathrm{pmol}$ ) was used at 5,20 , or $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as indicated in Supporting Information, in order to be at or below the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ for ATP for each kinase. The assays were initiated with MgATP, stopped by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.5 M orthophosphoric acid, and spotted on to P81 filter plates using a unifilter harvester (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined after carrying out assays at 10 different concentrations between $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 110 pM . The data are presented as mean percentage activity of duplicate assays at single concentration compared to DMSO controls. A similar protocol was used at Reaction Biology Corporation to measure the inhibitory activity of compound 66 against 11 Eph kinases.

Phage Display Based Binding Assay. The experiments were performed at Ambit Biosciences Corporation using binding assays as previously described. ${ }^{11}$ Briefly, kinases were expressed as fusion proteins to T7 phage. In general, full-length constructs were used for small kinases and catalytic domains for large kinases. T7-kinase-tagged phage strains were mixed with known kinase inhibitors immobilized on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads and with test compounds at a single concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Test compounds that bind to the kinase ATP site displace the immobilized ligand from the kinase/phage, which is detected using quantitative PCR. The results are reported as the percentage of kinase/phage remaining bound to the ligand/beads, relative to a control (DMSO lacking a test compound). High affinity compounds have $\%$ control $=0$, while weaker binders have higher $\%$ control values. Results are reported for screening against 50 human kinases.
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    ${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: Abl, Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homologue; ALTA, anchor-based library tailoring; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; DIPEA, diisopropylethylamine; DMF, dimethylformamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; Eph, erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma receptor; FRET, fluorescence-resonance energy transfer; GCK, germinal center kinase; Lck, lymphocyte-specific kinase; MD, molecular dynamics; MLK, mixed-lineage kinase; rmsd, root-mean-square deviation; SAR, structure-activity relationship; THF, tetrahydrofuran.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were measured by a FRET based enzymatic assay while values in parentheses are $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values determined by an enzymatic assay with radioactive ATP (see Experimental Section).

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\alpha$-halo ketone, DIPEA, DMF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) primary amine, EtOH, sealed tube, reflux, 15 h .

